## Asymmetric oxidation of sulfides under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions

Kazuhiro Matsumoto, Tetsufumi Yamaguchi and Tsutomu Katsuki\*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 14th December 2007, Accepted 29th January 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 5th February 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b719265g

The aluminium(salalen) complex was found to be an efficient catalyst for the asymmetric oxidation of sulfides under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions, in which an only 0.002–0.01 mol% catalyst loading was sufficient to obtain optically active sulfoxides in high yield with high enantioselectivity.

Organic synthesis has evolved significantly, and many useful methods, reagents and techniques have been developed in the past half-century, so that organic chemists can synthesize most molecules such as complex natural products and sophisticated functional materials.<sup>1</sup> However, modern organic synthesis requires the efficient synthesis of molecules and we must consider many aspects of reactions including practicality, sustainability, waste materials, reaction time, cost, safeness, energy consumption, etc. An assessment criterion that measures the efficiency of the reaction is the E factor, introduced by Sheldon.<sup>2</sup> The E factor is defined as the waste per kg product, and the solvent is a large contributing agent in typical organic syntheses. Thus, solvent-free or highly concentrated reactions can be an effective approach to reduce the E factor of a chemical process. Reactions under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions also have many advantages, such as reducing solvent cost and the enhancement of reaction rate. Therefore, much research effort has been devoted to the development of solvent-free or highly concentrated reactions, and many examples of catalytic asymmetric variants have been reported.<sup>3</sup> To our knowledge, however, highly enantioselective oxidation under these conditions has not been reported, since oxidation is exothermic and the absence of solvent may cause destabilization of reaction conditions.<sup>4</sup>

Recently, we found that chiral aluminium(salalen)<sup>5</sup> complex  $(R_a, S, S, R_a)$ -1 is an efficient catalyst for asymmetric sulfide oxidation using aqueous hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant (Scheme 1).<sup>6–15</sup> The original conditions required 2 mol% of the catalyst and the reaction was conducted in 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> substrate concentration at room temperature. While methanol was employed as the solvent, the oxidation of thioanisole in other solvents such as ethanol, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, dichloromethane and toluene also proceeded with high enantio-selectivity. The broad solvent tolerance indicated that substrate sulfides and product sulfoxides might work as solvents without affecting stereoselection. Here, we report the highly

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Graduate School, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan. E-mail: katsuscc@mbox.nc.kyushu-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81 92 942 2607; Tel: +81 92 642 2610

enantioselective oxidation of sulfides under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions.fnoteref †

We first examined effects of substrate concentration in the oxidation of thioanisole using  $(R_a, S, S, R_a)$ -1 as the catalyst under the conditions, adding aqueous hydrogen peroxide portionwise (Table 1).<sup>16</sup><sup>‡</sup> While a low yield was observed with 0.2 mol% catalyst in 0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$  substrate concentration, reactions under more concentrated conditions at lower reaction temperature gave results comparable to that obtained under the original condition (entry 1 vs. 5). Further lowering the catalyst load under the conditions diminished yield and enantioselectivity (entries 5-7). To our surprise, however, reaction under solvent-free conditions gave the sulfoxide in 92% yield with 96% ee in the presence of only 0.002 mol% catalyst (entry 8). The significant enhancement of the reaction rate under solvent-free conditions relatively reduced the participation of a non-catalytic sulfur oxidation process in which the catalyst was not involved, so that the high enantioselectivity was obtained.<sup>17</sup>

Next, the scope of sulfides was investigated (Table 2).<sup>18</sup> Oxidation of solid sulfides such as *p*-methoxy- and *p*-chlorophenyl methyl sulfides was conducted in 5 mol  $L^{-1}$  sulfide concentration in toluene (entries 3 and 6). Alkyl aryl sulfides were efficiently converted to the sulfoxides with high enantioselectivity in the presence of only 0.002–0.01 mol% catalyst. The electronic nature of the substituents on the aromatic ring slightly affected the reaction progress. The sulfide possessing electron-withdrawing *p*-chloro functionality afforded more sulfone to give a lower yield of the desired sulfoxide than that



## Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions

Entry		$Ph^{S}Me \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} Al(salalen) 1 (x mol\%) \\ 30\% H_2O_2 (1.1 equiv.) \\ MeOH, 24 h \\ pH 7.4 phosphate buffer \end{array}} O_{t} \\ Me$				
	Substrate concentration/mol $L^{-1}$	<i>x</i> (mol%)	Temperature/ $^{\circ}C$	Yield $(\%)^a$	Ee $(\%)^{b}$	
1	0.1	2	RT	90	98	
2	0.1	0.2	RT	52	87	
3	1	0.2	RT	88	93	
4	1	0.2	0	91	98	
5	5	0.2	0	95	97	
6	5	0.02	0	92	95	
7	5	0.002	0	87	90	
8	Solvent-free	0.002	0	92 $(86)^c$	96	
<sup>a</sup> Determir	ned by <sup>1</sup> H NMR analysis (400 MHz). $^{b}$ D	Determined by chiral	HPLC analysis (DAICEL	CHIRALCEL OB-H	). <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.	

Table 2 Asymmetric oxidation of sulfides under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions

			R <sup>1,S</sup> R <sup>2</sup>	Al(salalen) 1 ( $x \mod \%$ ) 30% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (1.1 equiv.) 24 h pH 7.4 phosphate buffer	0 t R <sup>1<sup>- S</sup> R<sup>2</sup></sup>		
Entry	$\mathbf{R}^1$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	<i>x</i> (mol%)	Temperature/°C	Yield $(\%)^a$	Ee (%)	
1	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	0.01	-10	86	$98^b$	
2	o-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	0.004	0	88	96 <sup>b</sup>	
3 <sup>c</sup>	p-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	0.01	0	83	99 <sup>b</sup>	
4	o-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	0.01	-10	85	$>99^{b}$	
5	m-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	0.01	-10	78	98 <sup>b</sup>	
6 <sup><i>c</i></sup>	$p-ClC_6H_4$	Me	0.01	0	73	99 <sup>6</sup>	
7	Ph	Et	0.002	0	43	$93^d$	
8	Ph	Et	0.01	-10	48	$97^d$	
9	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	Me	0.01	-10	88	$69^{b}$	
$10^c$	$n-C_8H_{17}$	Me	0.01	0	55	$78^b$	
$11^e$	<i>n</i> -C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub>	Me	0.01	0	31	91 <sup>b</sup>	
<sup>a</sup> Isolated	yield. <sup>b</sup> Determined by	y chiral HPL	C analysis (DAI	CEL CHIRALCEL OB	-H). <sup>c</sup> Substrate concer	tration: 5 mol $L^{-1}$ is	
toluene. d	Determined by chiral H	PLC analysis (	DAICEL CHIRAI	CEL OD-H). <sup>e</sup> Substrate	concentration: 1 mol L-	<sup>-1</sup> in toluene.	

with the electron-donating *p*-methoxy group, although ee values of 99% were observed in both cases (entries 3 and 6). While high enantioselectivity was obtained in the reaction of ethyl phenyl sulfide, a significant amount of sulfone was formed and the yield was diminished (entries 7 and 8). Reactions of dialkyl sulfides furnished the sulfoxides with good to high enantioselectivity (entries 9–11). Although the oxidation of methyl *n*-octyl sulfide in 5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> sulfide concentration was moderately enantioselective, the ee of the sulfoxide was



increased under more dilute conditions, due to the accelerated enantiomer-differentiating oxidation of the sulfoxide.<sup>19</sup>

A plausible catalytic cycle is illustrated in Scheme 2. Although the precise reaction mechanism is unclear at present, we propose a  $\eta^2$ -hydroperoxo complex as the active species, that is formed by the reaction of the complex 1 and hydrogen peroxide. The hydroperoxo complex oxidizes sulfides to give the sulfoxides along with the formation of an aluminium hydroxide complex. Then, the reaction with hydrogen peroxide regenerates the active hydroperoxo complex.

In summary, we have developed a highly efficient asymmetric oxidation of sulfides under solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions. Under the conditions, optically active sulfoxides were obtained in high yields with high to excellent enantioselectivity in the presence of only 0.002–0.01 mol% catalyst.

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Specially Promoted Research 18002011) and the Global COE Program, "Science for Future Molecular Systems" from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, Japan. KM is grateful for the JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

## Notes and references

† Typical experimental procedure: a 0.001 mol L<sup>-1</sup> solution of Al(salalen) complex **1** in toluene (100 μL, 0.002 mol%) was placed in a flask, and then toluene was removed under reduced pressure. Thioanisole (588 μL, 5.0 mmol) and phosphate buffer (20 μL, pH 7.4, 66.7 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) were added, and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. 30% hydrogen peroxide (620 μL, 1.1 equiv.) was added in several small portions over 1 h, and then the reaction mixture was stirred. After 24 h, aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ethyl acetate were added and the organic phase was separated and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (*n*-hexane–ethyl acetate 1 : 1 to 0 : 1) to give the desired sulfoxide (600 mg, 86%). The enantiomeric excess (96% ee) was determined by chiral HPLC (DAICEL CHIRALCEL OB-H, *n*-hexane–*i*-propanol 80 : 20).

‡ **Caution!** Oxidation is usually very exothermic. Oxidant must be carefully added dropwise or in several small portions, even with an efficient cooling system.

- (a) E. J. Corey and X. M. Cheng, *The Logic of Chemical Synthesis*, Wiley, New York, 1989; (b) K. C. Nicolaou and E. J. Sorensen, *Classics in Total Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1996; (c) K. C. Nicolaou, D. Vourloumis, N. Winssinger and P. S. Baran, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 44; (d) K. C. Nicolaou and S. A. Snyder, *Classics in Total Synthesis II*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- 2 (a) R. A. Sheldon, Chem. Ind., 1992, 903; (b) R. A. Sheldon, Pure Appl. Chem., 2000, 72, 1233.
- 3 P. J. Walsh, H. Li and C. A. de Parrodi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2503 and references cited therein.
- 4 (a) R. S. Varma and K. P. Naicker, Org. Lett., 1999, 1, 189; (b) K. Sato, M. Hyodo, M. Aoki, X.-Q. Zheng and R. Noyori, Tetrahedron, 2001, 57, 2469.
- 5 Salalen refers to a hybrid salen/salan [ONNO]-type tetradentate ligand: A. Yeori, S. Gendler, S. Groysman, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 7, 280.
- 6 (a) T. Yamaguchi, K. Matsumoto, B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4729; (b) K. Matsumoto, T. Yamaguchi, J. Fujisaki, B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Chem.-Asian J., 2008, 3, 351.
- 7 For recent reviews on asymmetric oxidation of sulfides, see: (a) C. Bolm, K. Muñiz and J. P. Hildebrand, in *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*, ed. E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz and H. Yamamoto, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, vol. II, p. 697; (b) H. Kagan, in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, ed. I. Ojima, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2nd edn, 2000, p. 327.

- 8 (a) M. Mikołajczk, J. Drabowicz and P. Kiełbasiński, *Chiral Sulfur Reagents*, CRC press, Boca Raton, 1997; (b) I. Fernández and N. Khiar, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, 103, 3651.
- 9 (a) P. Pitchen, E. Dunach, M. N. Deshmukh and H. B. Kagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 8188; (b) F. Di Furia, G. Modena and R. Seraglia, Synthesis, 1984, 325; (c) B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 3873; (d) B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 3833; (e) S. D. Green, C. Monti, R. F. W. Jackson, M. S. Anson and S. J. F. Macdonald, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2594; (f) T. Tanaka, B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 3259; (g) B. Saito and T. Katsuki, Chirality, 2003, 15, 24; (h) M. Seenivasaperumal, H.-J. Federsel, A. Ertan and K. J. Szabó, Chem. Commun., 2007, 2187.
- (a) C. Bolm and F. Bienewald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2640; (b) A. H. Vetter and A. Berkessel, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 1741; (c) C. Ohta, H. Shimizu, A. Kondo and T. Katsuki, Synlett, 2002, 161; (d) S. A. Blum, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 150; (e) J. Sun, C. Zhu, Z. Dai, M. Yang, Y. Pan and H. Hu, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 8500; (f) C. Drago, L. Caggiano and R. F. W. Jackson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7221.
- 11 A. Basak, A. U. Barlan and H. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2006, 17, 508.
- 12 V. V. Thakur and A. Sudalai, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, 14, 407.
- 13 (a) M. Palucki, P. Hanson and E. N. Jacobsen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 7111; (b) K. Noda, N. Hosoya, R. Irie, Y. Yamashita and T. Katsuki, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 9609.
- (a) J. Legros and C. Bolm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5487;
  (b) J. Legros and C. Bolm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4225;
  (c) J. Legros and C. Bolm, Chem.-Eur. J., 2005, 11, 1086; (d) H. Egami and T. Katsuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 8940.
- 15 T. Miyazaki and T. Katsuki, Synlett, 2003, 1046.
- 16 It is of note that, in all reactions of this study, the cooling bath was kept strictly at the specified temperature for the safety operation.
- 17 Even in the absence of exogenous catalysts, oxidation of sulfides with aqueous hydrogen peroxide proceeds under solvent-free conditions, see: F. Shi, M. K. Tse, H. M. Kaiser and M. Beller, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 2425.
- 18 In all cases examined, the over-oxidation of sulfoxides to sulfones was observed (in 5–40% yield).
- (a) X. Jia, X. Li, L. Xu, Y. Li, Q. Shi, T. T.-L. Au-Yeung, C. W. Yip, X. Yao and A. S. C. Chan, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2004, 346, 723;
  (b) F. Naso, C. Cardellicchio, F. Affortunato and M. A. M. Capozzi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2006, 17, 3226; (c) I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, M. Hill, L. Caggiano and R. F. W. Jackson, Synlett, 2006, 3540. See also ref. 10e and 10f.